Case study fiche for ENRESHH WG2

## Preamble

In the first year of the ENRESSH project, Working Group 2 will create a typology of the modes of engagement and impact creation by social sciences and humanities research. We are currently gathering information in the form of short (2 page) case studies that set out how SSH research led to the creation of social, economic, environmental, political, cultural or other kinds of societal value.

## This fiche

This case study fiche is part of a wider effort to gain around 100 cases of good practice of impact generation in social sciences and humanities research across Europe. We have developed this fiche to create a common reporting standard for anyone submitting material about their case studies. These fiches will be collected and published in 2017 as part of ENRESSH WG 2. Within the WG, they will be presented back to the WG meeting in 2017, along with a typology of the various kinds of ways in which SSH research can create ‘impact’.

## How to complete this fiche

Firstly answer the eight questions and the background text as you see fit. We anticipate that this will be done primarily on the basis of secondary material, either gathered from existing projects or reports.

If there are sections that you cannot easily complete on the basis of this secondary material, and quick contact with the principal does not elicit assistance, then it is acceptable to have a partial response on the basis of what is written elsewhere.

Finally please mail the completed fiche to [p.benneworth@utwente.nl](mailto:p.benneworth@utwente.nl)

The fiche consists of 8 sections that are intended to provide a framework to produce an impact narrative statement. They presuppose a particular chain of events, that an academic (group) working on a domain area is inspired by an external stimulus to deliver impact and/ or relevance, and this raises challenges that are addressed with more or less success to achieve a visible societal benefit. If another narrative is applicable in this case, then please feel free to adapt the headings but please preserve a narrative structure (context, beginning, challenge, conflict/ resolution, end).

We are not expecting that people would want to write more than 1,000 words in total (i.e. one paragraph per section). There is also a space where you can include any other material that is potentially relevant to understanding the case.

*Paul Benneworth & Julia Olmos Peñuela, 11th October 2016.*

# The main sections of the fiche

## 0. General information (context information)

## 1. What motivated the researcher to begin the ultimately relevant/ impactful research?

## 2. Who were the key people active in creating the research impact?

## 3. What is the contribution of the research in terms of wider impact beyond the academy?

## 4. Interactions – how and with whom?

## 5. What were the obstacles to impact?

## 6. Did you receive any external support for the engagement?

## 7. Is there any evidence from the user that the knowledge is relevant or impactful?

## 8. Are there other elements of this case that might be relevant? Is there anything else that is relevant that we need to know at this stage.